DISTRICT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	S DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA	
jt@kathleenblisslaw.com KATHLEEN BLISS LAW PLLC 170 South Green Valley Parkway Suite 300 Henderson, Nevada 89012 Telephone: 702.318.7375  Attorney for Alejandra Enriquez-Atunez  UNITED STATES  DISTRICT  UNITED STATES	OF NEVADA	
KATHLEEN BLISS LAW PLLC 170 South Green Valley Parkway Suite 300 Henderson, Nevada 89012 Telephone: 702.318.7375 Attorney for Alejandra Enriquez-Atunez  UNITED STATES  DISTRICT  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	OF NEVADA	
Suite 300 Henderson, Nevada 89012 Telephone: 702.318.7375  Attorney for Alejandra Enriquez-Atunez  UNITED STATES  DISTRICT  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	OF NEVADA	
Telephone: 702.318.7375  Attorney for Alejandra Enriquez-Atunez  UNITED STATES  DISTRICT  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	OF NEVADA	
Attorney for Alejandra Enriquez-Atunez  UNITED STATES  DISTRICT  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	OF NEVADA	
UNITED STATES  DISTRICT  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	OF NEVADA	
DISTRICT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	OF NEVADA	
DISTRICT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	OF NEVADA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
	LOAGE NO. 2.21 CD 001/0 CDG DNW	
	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00169-CDS-BNW	
Flamun		
vs.	Stipulation to Continue Sentencing	
ALEJANDRA ENRIQUEZ-ATUNEZ,	(Second Request)	
Defendant		
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	AGREED, by and between Jason M. Frierson,	
United States Attorney for the District of Nevada, and Kimberly Sokolich, Assistant United		
States Attorney, and Jacqueline Tirinnanzi, counsel for Defendant Alejandra Enriquez-Atunez,		
that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for March 20, 2023, at 10:30 a.m. (ECF No. 99)		
is continued for no sooner than 60 days, to a date and time convenient to this Court. This		
stipulation is made and based upon the followi	ng:	
1. Ms. Enriquez Atunez entered	a change of plea on November 3, 2022, pleading	
guilty to one count of Conspira	acy to Distribute a Controlled Substance under 2	
	Plaintiff vs.  ALEJANDRA ENRIQUEZ-ATUNEZ, Defendant  IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A United States Attorney for the District of Neva States Attorney, and Jacqueline Tirinnanzi, couthat the sentencing hearing currently scheduled is continued for no sooner than 60 days, to a distipulation is made and based upon the following the sentenced of the se	

- U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), 841(b)(A)(viii) ad (b)(1)(C). ECF No. 89.
- 2. Ms. Enriquez Atunez is out of custody and agrees to the continuance. To date, there have been no pretrial violations filed against Ms. Enriquez-Atunez.
- 3. Defense Counsel's ability to effectively and thoroughly explore all factors relevant to Ms. Enriquez Atunez's sentencing and mitigation presentation requires additional time for the following reasons. Counsel was preparing for the upcoming sentencing with her client on March 8, 2023 when she was made aware of serious information relating to the client, which was new information to Counsel, despite her best efforts to proactively explore all factors relevant to the client's sentencing in a timely manner. As a result, Counsel requires additional opportunity to obtain specific professional expertise to evaluate the newly discovered information. Counsel believes that a professional evaluation relating to said information is required to provide competent, effective representation for her client.
- 4. This is the second request for a continuance of the sentencing hearing.
- The additional time requested herein is sought in good faith and not for purposes of delay.
- 6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States Constitution, which terminated upon conviction. *See Betterman v. Montana*, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016).
- 7. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for Ms. Enriquez

## case 2:21-cr-00169-CDS-BNW Document 118 Filed 03/14/23 Page 3 of 6

1	Atunez sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking		
2	into account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance		
3	could result in a miscarriage of justice.		
4			
5	Dated this 14th day of March 2023.		
6			
7			
8	/s/Kimberly Sokolich	/s/Jacqueline Tirinnanzi	
9	Assistant U.S. Attorney Counsel for the United States	Counsel for Alejandra Enriquez Atunez	
10	Counsel for the Cinted States		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2 3 CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00169-CDS-BNW UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 Plaintiff 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER VS. 6 7 ALEJANDRA ENRIQUEZ-ATUNEZ, Defendant 8 9 10 FINDINGS OF FACT 11 Based on the pending stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the 12 Court finds that: 1. Ms. Enriquez Atunez entered a change of plea on November 3, 2022, pleading 13 guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Distribute a Controlled Substance under 21 14 15 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), 841(b)(A)(viii) ad (b)(1)(C). ECF No. 89. 16 2. Ms. Enriquez Atunez is out of custody and agrees to the continuance. To date, 17 there have been no pretrial violations filed against Ms. Enriquez-Atunez. 3. Defense Counsel's ability to effectively and thoroughly explore all factors relevant 18 19 to Ms. Enriquez Atunez's sentencing and mitigation presentation requires additional time for the following reasons. Counsel was preparing for the upcoming 20 sentencing with her client on March 8, 2023 when she was made aware of serious 21 information relating to the client, which was new information to Counsel, despite 22 23 her best efforts to proactively explore all factors relevant to the client's sentencing 24 in a timely manner. As a result, Counsel requires additional opportunity to obtain 25 specific professional expertise to evaluate the newly discovered information. 26 27

28

- Counsel believes that a professional evaluation relating to said information is required to provide competent, effective representation for her client.
- 4. This is the second request for a continuance of the sentencing hearing.
- The additional time requested herein is sought in good faith and not for purposes of delay.
- 6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States Constitution, which terminated upon conviction. *See Betterman v. Montana*, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016).
- 7. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for Ms. Enriquez Atunez sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

**ORDER** Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that Ms. Enriquez Atunez's sentencing hearing currently scheduled for March 8, 2023, at 10:30 a.m., be VACATED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentencing hearing is reset for May 22, 2023, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. in LV Courtroom 6B before Judge Cristina D. Silva. DATED: <u>3/14/2023</u> THE HONORABLE CRISTINA D. SILVA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE